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ABSTRACT

Introduction Acute gastroenteritis (AGE) is a highly transmissible condition. Determining characteristics of household transmission will facilitate development of prevention strategies and reduce the burden of this disease. We are carrying out this study to describe household transmission of medically attended AGE, and explore whether there is an increased incidence in households with young children.

Methods and analysis This study used the Royal College of General Practitioners (RCGP) Research and Surveillance Centre (RSC) primary care sentinel network, comprising data from 1 750 167 registered patients (August 2017 database). We conducted a novel analysis using a ‘household key’, to identify patients within the same household (n=811 027, mean 2.16 people). A 25-year repeated cross-sectional study will explore the incidence of medically attended AGE overall and then a 5-year retrospective cohort study will describe household transmission of AGE. The cross-sectional study will include clinical data for a 25-year period—1 January 1992 until the 31 December 2017. We will describe the incidence of AGE by age-band and gender, and trends in incidence. The 5-year study will use Poisson and quasi-Poisson regression to identify characteristics of individuals and households to predict medically attended AGE transmitted in the household. This will include whether the household contained a child under 5 years and the age category of the first index case (whether adult or child under 5 years). If there is overdispersion and zero-inflation we will compare results with negative binomial to handle these issues.

Ethics and dissemination All RCPG RSC data are pseudonymised at the point of data extraction. No personally identifiable data are required for this investigation. The protocol follows STrengthening the Reporting of Observational studies in Epidemiology guidelines (STROBE). The study results will be published in a peer-review journal, the dataset will be available to other researchers.

INTRODUCTION

Acute gastroenteritis (AGE) contributes significantly to the burden of infectious diseases as well as having wider societal impact.1,9 It is estimated that around 25% of the population suffers from an AGE episode per year. However, in common with many other conditions general practitioners only see the tip of the epidemiological iceberg,10 11 with only 2% attending primary care healthcare.12 AGE has direct healthcare costs of prevention strategies and reduce the burden of this condition.1–9 It is estimated that around 25% of the population suffers from an AGE episode per year. However, in common with many other conditions general practitioners only see the tip of the epidemiological iceberg,10 11 with only 2% attending primary care healthcare.12 AGE has direct healthcare costs of €25 billion per year in the UK alone.9 10 The population groups most vulnerable to AGE are children under 5 years old,16 and individuals with immunodeficiency17–20 and immunosuppression-related comorbidities.21 WHO recommended rotavirus vaccination for infants,22–25 which was introduced in the UK in 201326–28 and has resulted in a significant decrease in AGE admissions.29–31
DETERMINING THE CHARACTERISTICS OF HOUSEHOLD AND INCIDENCE OF MEDICALLY ATTENDED AGE IN A NATIONALLY REPRESENTATIVE SENTINEL NETWORK

Methodology

Study Setting and Population

The study design

The study has two components, a repeated cross-sectional study and a retrospective cohort study. The repeated cross-section allows us to calculate the incidence of medically attended AGE and report trends in the population and incidence of medically attended AGE over time. The repeated cross-sectional analysis allows us to maximise the data available as the population registered at the start of our observation period will be different from that at the end. By way of comparison our retrospective cohort study will run for a shorter period and only include those registered with one of our network practices at the end of the study. The retrospective cohort study will explore household transmission rates.

We will conduct the 25-year repeated cross-sectional study (1 January 1992 until 31 December 2017) and the 5-year retrospective cohort study (1 January 2012 until 31 December 2017) that will use the routinely collected primary care data within the RCGP RSC network database. The rationale for our different time periods for the repeated cross-sectional and retrospective cohort studies is that while denominator and AGE incidence data are reliable for this longer period, our household key is only reliable for the last 5 years.

The August 2017 RCGP RSC database contained just under 1.8 million patients’ data, the 2002 database 1 million and the 1992 database 350,000 (table 1). Each progressive extraction of RCGP RSC is larger as more practices join the network; we anticipate a larger number to be involved in this study.

Study setting and population

We will carry out this study in the Royal College of General Practitioners (RCGP) Research and Surveillance Centre (RSC) primary care sentinel network. RCGP RSC lends itself to conducting this type of research.35 36 English general practice is a registration-based system, where individuals register with a single general practice and have a unique patient identifier (National Health Service (NHS) number).37 The network design allows people in the same household to be identified. Primary care records have been computerised since the 1990s. Key data are recorded using the Read terminology; this allows detailed coding of diagnosis, symptoms and other patient information.38 Since 2004, most practices have been electronically linked to their local laboratory, ensuring all lab results are automatically posted into the practice computerised medical record (CMR) system; nearly all prescriptions are issued by GPs.

The RCGP RSC network database is a growing and nationally representative sentinel network. Based on the practices who were members in August 2017, it includes data from 175 general practices, 1,750,167 registered patients, amounting to approximately 3.1% of the National population.39 45 It is likely that a larger population will be available for this study.
Table 1  RCGP RSC database size by year of database assembly

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extraction date</th>
<th>Number of registered patients</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>31/08/2017</td>
<td>1 769 004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31/12/2016</td>
<td>1 750 168</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31/12/2015</td>
<td>1 722 608</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31/12/2014</td>
<td>1 689 412</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31/12/2013</td>
<td>1 639 250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31/12/2012</td>
<td>1 588 022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31/12/2011</td>
<td>1 536 902</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31/12/2010</td>
<td>1 493 611</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31/12/2009</td>
<td>1 452 718</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31/12/2008</td>
<td>1 414 621</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31/12/2007</td>
<td>1 376 848</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31/12/2006</td>
<td>1 337 105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31/12/2005</td>
<td>1 285 278</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31/12/2004</td>
<td>1 237 254</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31/12/2003</td>
<td>1 179 378</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31/12/2002</td>
<td>1 034 446</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31/12/2001</td>
<td>922 267</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31/12/2000</td>
<td>820 163</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31/12/1999</td>
<td>727 957</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31/12/1998</td>
<td>648 774</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31/12/1997</td>
<td>585 291</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31/12/1996</td>
<td>523 976</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31/12/1995</td>
<td>477 484</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31/12/1994</td>
<td>431 264</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31/12/1993</td>
<td>390 437</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31/12/1992</td>
<td>343 484</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RCGP RSC is one of the oldest surveillance networks; it has been producing a weekly return on infectious disease, including gastroenteritis, for over 50 years. Over that period, practices have received feedback about their data quality, initially through practice visits, but more recently through online training and a practice dashboard.

The RCGP RSC is broadly representative of the national population in terms of: (1) age-sex distribution; (2) socioeconomic status, measured using the Index of Multiple Deprivation (IMD); (3) ethnicity and (4) urban-rural distribution, using the Office of National Statistics (ONS) classification. The population is slightly younger, more distributed towards London and urban locations, more ethnically mixed and less deprived—although these differences are small. The RCGP RSC actively recruits in areas where it is under-represented.

PATIENT AND PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT

Patients were not involved in the development of this protocol.

Household key

The RCGP RSC network database has a ‘household key,’ which can flag patients who live in the same household. This is assigned by flagging groups of individual with an identical first line or address and post code (this is done programmatically within the GP CMR system—these personal data are not extracted). From the August 2017 database, we identified 811 027 households with a mean size of 2.16 people. This compares with the 2011 national census of 56 075 900 in 23 366 000 households and a mean size of 2.9; 2.9% of the patients within the RSC did not have a household key assigned; this is largely because they do not have a properly formed post code.

The household key is defined as an identical first line of address and identical full post code. This matching is done programmatically, at the point of data extraction from the GP system so RCGP RSC staff do not have access to these data. We anticipate it is reliable because when a patient registers, the GP CMR system requires entry of the address once, and then assigns it to successive family members. However, it may underestimate true household size where one or more members of a household are registered with a different practice, which is not part of the RCGP RSC network. We class households of 12 or more as a ‘communal establishment’—and these groups are outside the scope of this study, in line with handling of data from ONS. Around a quarter of people live in two-person households (24.32), with a fifth each of living in single (22.34), three-person (19.08) or four-person (19.04) households (table 2).

AGE case definition

We will use the current RCGP RSC, ontologically developed case definition; which will be applied to all years of data analysed. An ontological approach to case definitions formally describes the concepts used to define the disease. A disease such as AGE can be defined by a combination of one or more concepts such as: diagnosis, clinical features, lab results and any treatment given. Historically, RSC defined AGE using the diagnostic Read codes that mapped to equivalent infectious intestinal diseases codes within the International Classification of Disease (ICD). Our ontological approach was developed from the AGE definition used in the Second Study of Infectious Intestinal Disease in the Community, with the Read code mappings updated to match ICD-10. Our ontology can be readily mapped to other coding systems. For example, it will facilitate consistent case definition within the Systematised Nomenclature of Medicine—Clinical Terms (SNOMED CT) when it is rolled out across the NHS in 2018.

AGE incidence

Using RCGP RSC data (August 2017 extract), we have looked at the incidence of AGE over our 25-year study period. We have looked at the incidence by age band. We have used two modes, one separating off children under...
The number of incident cases of AGE in the RCGP RSC in 2016 was 16325; over the 15-year period of the retrospective cohort there are 297691 cases, and over the last 25 years 364529 cases.

Sample size calculation
We based our sample size on a proportion test on the two groups: households with an under 5 and households without an under 5.67 Since we are in the low prevalence rate regime, sample size of around 2650 enables us to detect an OR of around 2. Since we intend to extract a sample of a size much larger than 2650, we will be able to detect an OR of ≥2.1 with 80% power at the 5% level of significance.67

Outcome measures
Twenty-five-year repeated cross-sectional study:
▸ The rate of presentation with a first or new case of medically attended AGE per year.
▸ Individual characteristics that can predict presentation of medically attended AGE (box 1).
▸ The weekly incidence rates of medically attended AGE for children and adults.
Five-year retrospective study:
▸ The primary outcome measure is the rate of presentation of two or more individuals with medically attended AGE from the same household, within 10 days. We will determine whether adults in households with young children (aged under 2 years and under 5 years) have a higher incidence of medically attended AGE than those that do not.
▸ Household characteristics that can predict presentation of medically attended AGE within households (box 2).
▸ The sequence of medically attended AGE presentation in the same household.
▸ Individual characteristics that can also predict presentation of medically attended AGE within households (box 1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Household size</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Population</th>
<th>Number of households</th>
<th>Proportion of population</th>
<th>Proportion of households</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>181422</td>
<td>189754</td>
<td>371176</td>
<td>371176</td>
<td>22.34</td>
<td>46.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>212256</td>
<td>191772</td>
<td>404028</td>
<td>202014</td>
<td>24.32</td>
<td>25.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>163992</td>
<td>152916</td>
<td>316908</td>
<td>105636</td>
<td>19.08</td>
<td>13.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>158282</td>
<td>158010</td>
<td>316292</td>
<td>79073</td>
<td>19.04</td>
<td>9.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>69385</td>
<td>71170</td>
<td>140555</td>
<td>28111</td>
<td>8.46</td>
<td>3.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;6</td>
<td>55760</td>
<td>56623</td>
<td>112383</td>
<td>16613</td>
<td>6.76</td>
<td>2.07</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

These data are from the August 2017 RCGP RSC extract and exclude households (communal establishments>12 people) and those without a valid post code, who do not have a household key.

RCGP RSC, Royal College of General Practitioners Research and Surveillance Centre.

Figure 1 Incidence of gastroenteritis in Royal College of General Practitioners Research and Surveillance Centre 1992–2017, with children under 2 years in a separate age-band. IID, Infectious Intestinal Disease.
Study variables and available data
For the cross-sectional study, we will extract age-band, gender and medically attended AGE data. The retrospective cohort study will use the more extensive demographic and clinical data available in the database, as described above. We will additionally include the chronic diseases:

- Cardiovascular and cerebrovascular (heart disease, stroke, chronic kidney disease and hypertensions);
- Respiratory (asthma and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease);
- Common mental health problems (anxiety and depression).

**Box 1 Individual characteristics**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethnicity (2001 census)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Socioeconomic status (2001 census)**

| IMD quintile 1—most deprived |
| IMD quintile 2 |
| IMD quintile 3 |
| IMD quintile 4 |
| IMD quintile 5—least deprived |

**Body mass index kg/m² (2001 census)**

| Underweight (<18.5) |
| Normal (18.5–24.9) |
| Overweight (25.0–29.9) |
| Obese class 1 (30.0–34.9) |
| Obese class 2 (35.0–39.9) |
| Obese class 3 (>40.0) |
| None (information missing) |

**History of rotavirus vaccine**

IMD, Index of Multiple Deprivation.

**Box 2 Household characteristics**

**Composition**

- Adults only (aged 18 years or more)
  - 1–2 adults
  - 3+ adults

- Children aged under 5 years
  - 1 child–1 adult
  - 1 child–2+ adults
  - 2+ children–1 adult
  - 2+ children–2+ adults

- Children aged over 5 years
  - 1 child–1 adult
  - 1 child–2+ adults
  - 2+ children–1 adult
  - 2+ children–2+ adults

**Children of mixed ages and one adult**

- 1 child <5 and 1+ >5–1 adult
- 2+ children <5 and 1+ >5–1 adult
- 1 child >5 and 1+ <5–2+ adults
- 2+ children >5 and 1+ <5–2+ adults

**Size**

Mean (for census comparison) and median age
**Statistical analysis**

The cross-sectional study will use descriptive statistics to describe any trend in incidence over the 25-year observation period. We will also use descriptive statistics to determine both the standardised and crude rate for each year and to observe any individual characteristics in cases of medically attended AGE, such as ethnicity and body mass index.

The 5-year retrospective study will show incidence of two or more individuals from the same household presenting with medically attended AGE within 10 days of each other. Households will be grouped by whether they contain a child aged under 10 years or not. We will observe the intervals between presentations, as well as the sequence of occurrence for different age groups. We will explore Poisson and quasi-Poisson regression and we will address issues with overdispersion and zero-inflation by comparing results with negative binomial.

In order to determine representativeness of RCGP RSC household data, we will compare the mean size of households in our dataset with the 2011 census data mean from ONS using a one-sample t-test.

We do not plan a sensitivity analysis. We do not expect the low percentage of individuals with no valid household key recorded to have an effect on the study (n=1 750 167, out of which 50979 patients without a household key recorded; 2.91%).

All statistical analysis will be performed using the statistical package, RStudio, V.3.3.1. The R scripts will be available to readers on request.

**USE OF GUIDELINES**

This protocol was produced following the STrengthening the Reporting of OBservational studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) checklist for cohort studies (see online supplementary file 1).

**ETHICAL CONSIDERATIONS**

The study does not require formal ethics committee approval. All data to be used have been anonymised at the point of data extraction. The study has been reviewed by the University of Surrey Research Integrity and Governance Office, tested against the Health Research Authority (HRA)/Medical Research Council ‘is this research’ tool (http://www.hra-decisiontools.org.uk/research/), and is considered to be an audit of current practice. No clinically identifiable information will be made available to researchers or in any publications.

**DISSEMINATION**

The final agreed protocol and the outputs of this study will be published in a peer-reviewed open access journal within the domains of primary care, epidemiology, surveillance, vaccines and infectious diseases. The research team will seek to present findings at relevant seminars and conferences.

A report with key findings, implication for practice and call for further research will be submitted to the funder at the end of the study. The data used for this research can be made available to other researchers on application to the corresponding author.

**DISCUSSION**

This is novel use of a household key within a primary care database to report if there is evidence of household transmission. This approach may be replicated to look for evidence of household transmission of other infections.

The RCGP RSC network database is appropriate for this research. RCGP RSC has records of over a quarter of a million cases of AGE, and good recording of its ‘household’ key. The limitation of these data are that we may under-record household size where one or more individuals in a household are registered with a different practice. Additionally, we know that not all AGE is medically attended; it is possible that other cases of AGE may not be reported to a patient’s GP.

**Limitations of the study**

**Medically attended AGE**

As described in the introduction, we are measuring trends in medically attended AGE and recognise this is a small proportion of the total incidence of this condition. Household characteristics associated with AGE and the sequence of presentation in the household may reflect healthcare seeking behaviour, perception of risk as well as the dynamics of transmission.

**Presentation with AGE at the time of presentation with other conditions**

Some people attended about their comorbidity at the same time that they presented with AGE. We do not have data to know whether that management of their comorbidity was discussed at a time their presentation with AGE, or AGE mentioned at the time of presentation for their chronic disease. The proportion was greatest in those aged over 65 years, where 1.2%–5.6% presented on the same day with a comorbidity.

**The household key underestimates the household size**

If some residents in a household are registered with a practice outside the sentinel network, they would not be included in the household. We think this will happen less with families, and more with younger people and in conurbations where there is more choice of general practices.

**Microbiological diagnoses are not commonly made in AGE in primary care**

For a variety of reasons including that AGE is often self-limiting and there may be local limitations on testing, we anticipate finding few microbiologically proven cases.
Where they are recorded in the primary care computerised medical record system, we will have access.

Some cases of AGE may go to the emergency department or hospital without attending primary care
We may not capture all more serious events, if they go straight to hospital. However, most practices record such data retrospectively into their primary care databases.

Notwithstanding these limitations, we anticipate reporting whether there is evidence of household spread of AGE using routine primary care data within the RCGP RSC database.

Author affiliations
1Department of Clinical and Experimental Medicine, University of Surrey, Guildford, UK
2Royal College of General Practitioners (RCGP) Research and Surveillance Centre (RSC), London, UK
3Center for Healthcare Innovation and Delivery Science, Department of Population Health, NYU School of Medicine, New York City, New York, USA
4NIHR Health Protection Research Unit in Gastrointestinal Infections, Liverpool, UK

Acknowledgements
The authors would like to acknowledge the practices and patients of the Royal College of General Practitioners Research and Surveillance Centre (RCGP RSC). The authors also wish to thank Chris McGee, SQL developer, for his help with database management and data extraction.

Contributors
SdeL conceived and designed the study, and led the writing of the manuscript. EF contributed to the manuscript. MJ contributed to the paper, and was lead medical statistician, including methodological and sample size advice. JS identified and processed all the baseline data and contributed to the manuscript. UIH advised on study design and reviewed the manuscript. RC contributed to and reviewed the manuscript. EF contributed and reviewed the manuscript. SJ reviewed the statistical analysis design and the manuscript. SJOB reviewed the manuscript and study design and contributed to the final manuscript draft.

Funding
This research is funded by Takeda Pharmaceuticals.

Disclaimer
The funders are updated periodically with the needs of the funders. The funders have not had a role in the development of this protocol, and no access to the study dataset.

Competing interests
None declared.

Patient consent
Not required.

Ethics approval
Approval for this work has been granted by the RCGP RSC study approval committee. Although in accordance with their policy for studies that were not a result of competitive peer-reviewed award, they require an open access peer-review protocol to be published.

Provenance and peer review
Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Data sharing statement
RCGP RSC data are available to analyse. Application form online at www.rcgp.org.uk/rsc. The authors will share their R scripts and the code lists used for this analysis.

Open access
This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited, appropriate credit is given, any changes made indicated, and the use is non-commercial. See: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/.

REFERENCES


